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In this paper we have defined new generalized directed divergence for additive. A characterization theorem is proved by assuming a set of six postulates.

1. INTRODUCTION

We define a new generalized directed-divergence as

\[ I_n [P_m] = \sum_{k=1}^{m-2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i,k} \log \frac{p_{i,k+1}}{p_{i,k+2}} \] ....(1.1)

where \( P_k = [p_{1,k}, p_{2,k}, \ldots, p_{n,k}], k = 1, 2, \ldots, m; p_{i,k} \geq 0; \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i,k} = 1, \) be \( m \) finite discrete probability distribution.

**Particular Case**

If \( m = 3 \) and we write \( p_{i,1} = p_i; p_{i,0} = q_i; p_{i,3} = r_i \) we obtain the definition of Theil (1967) who called it information improvement. Later on, for \( m = 3 \) and generalized probability distributions, it was interpreted as an error function by Nath (1968, 72), generalized directed divergence by Aczél and Nath (1972). It has also been studied by Kannappan and Rathie (1973), Sharma and Ram Autar (1974), Kannappan (1975), etc.

[Note: The convention \( o \log o = 0 \) is followed and logarithms will be to the base 2.

Also, whenever \( p_{i,k+1} \) or \( p_{i,k+2} \) is zero, the corresponding \( p_{i,k} \) is also zero.]

If \( n = 2 \), (1.1) takes the form

\[ I_2 \begin{bmatrix} p_1, 1 - p_1 \\ \vdots \\ p_m, 1 - p_m \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{k=1}^{m-2} \left[ p_k \log \frac{p_{k+1}}{p_{k+2}} + (1 - p_k) \log \frac{1 - p_{k+1}}{1 - p_{k+2}} \right]. \] ....(1.2)

For \((p_1, \ldots, p_m) \in D; \) where \( D = \{(0, 1) \times (0, 1) \times \ldots \times (0, 1) \) \( m \) times \( \cup \{(0, x_2, \ldots, x_m) \cup \{(1, x_2, \ldots, x_m)\) \}}

with \( x_1, \ldots, x_m \in [0, 1) \) and \( x'_1, \ldots, x'_m \in (0, 1] \).

2. Postulates

(1) Generalized Recursivity

\[
I_n \left[ P_m \right] = I_{n-1} \left[ \begin{array}{cccc}
p_{1,1} + p_{2,1}, & p_{3,1}, & \ldots, & p_{n,1} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
p_{1,m} + p_{2,m}, & p_{3,m}, & \ldots, & p_{n,m}
\end{array} \right] 
\]

\[
+ \sum_{k=1}^{m-2} \frac{p_{1,k}}{p_{1,k} + p_{2,k}} \frac{p_{2,k}}{p_{1,k} + p_{2,k}} I_2 \left[ \begin{array}{c}
p_{1,k+1} + p_{2,k+1} \\
p_{1,k+1} + p_{2,k+1}
\end{array} \right] 
\]

(2) Symmetry

\[
I_2 \left[ \begin{array}{ccc}
p_{1,1}, & p_{2,1}, & p_{3,1} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
p_{1,m}, & p_{2,m}, & p_{3,m}
\end{array} \right] = I_3 \left[ \begin{array}{ccc}
p_{a,1}, & p_{b,1}, & p_{c,1} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
p_{a,m}, & p_{b,m}, & p_{c,m}
\end{array} \right]
\]

where \( \{a, b, c\} \) is an arbitrary permutation of \( \{1, 2, 3\} \).

(3) Derivative

Let

\[
f(p_1, \ldots, p_m) = I_2 \left[ \begin{array}{c}
p_1, & 1 - p_1 \\
\vdots & \vdots \\
p_m, & 1 - p_m
\end{array} \right]
\]

for all \( (p_1, \ldots, p_m) \in D \) where \( D \) is as given in (1.2) and \( k = 1, 2, \ldots, m \). Also let \( f \) have continuous first partial derivatives with respect to all the \( m \) variables

\( p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_m \in (0, 1) \).

(4) Nullity

\[f(p, p, \ldots, p) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad p \in [0, 1].\]

(5) Normalization

\[
I_2 \left[ \begin{array}{c}
2/3, & 1/3 \\
2/3, & 1/3 \\
1/3, & 2/3
\end{array} \right] = 1/3 \quad \text{and} \quad I_3 \left[ \begin{array}{c}
2/3, & 1/3 \\
1/3, & 2/3 \\
1/3, & 2/3
\end{array} \right] = 0.
\]
(6) **Sum Representation**

\[ \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(p_{i,1}, p_{i,2}, p_{i,3}, \ldots, p_{i,m}) = I_n[P_m]. \]

3. **Characterization Theorem**

In this section we prove the following theorem with the help of the postulates given in section 2.

**Theorem** — The only function \( I_n[P_m] \) satisfying the postulates (1) to (6) is the generalized directed divergence given by (1.1).

**Proof:** The proof of the theorem depends on the following lemmas.

**Lemma 1** — \( I_2 \) is symmetric.

**Proof:** The postulate 1 for \( n = 3, p_{1,k} + p_{2,k} > 0, k = 1, 2, \ldots, m \) gives

\[
I_3 \begin{bmatrix}
  p_{1,1} & p_{2,1} & p_{3,1} \\
  \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
  p_{1,m} & p_{2,m} & p_{3,m}
\end{bmatrix} = I_2 \begin{bmatrix}
  p_{1,1} + p_{2,1} & p_{3,1} \\
  \vdots & \vdots \\
  p_{1,m} + p_{2,m} & p_{3,m}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
+ \sum_{k=1}^{m-2} (p_{1,k} + p_{2,k}) \left( \frac{p_{1,k}}{p_{1,k} + p_{2,k}} \right) I_2 \begin{bmatrix}
  \frac{p_{1,k+1}}{p_{1,k+1} + p_{2,k+1}} & \frac{p_{2,k+1}}{p_{1,k+1} + p_{2,k+1}} \\
  \vdots & \vdots \\
  \frac{p_{1,k+2}}{p_{1,k+2} + p_{2,k+2}} & \frac{p_{2,k+2}}{p_{1,k+2} + p_{2,k+2}}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

and

\[
I_2 \begin{bmatrix}
  p_{2,1} & p_{1,1} & p_{3,1} \\
  \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
  p_{2,m} & p_{1,m} & p_{3,m}
\end{bmatrix} = I_2 \begin{bmatrix}
  p_{2,1} + p_{1,1} & p_{3,1} \\
  \vdots & \vdots \\
  p_{2,m} + p_{1,m} & p_{3,m}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
+ \sum_{k=1}^{m-2} (p_{2,k} + p_{1,k}) \left( \frac{p_{2,k}}{p_{2,k} + p_{1,k}} \right) I_2 \begin{bmatrix}
  \frac{p_{2,k+1}}{p_{2,k+1} + p_{1,k+1}} & \frac{p_{1,k+1}}{p_{2,k+1} + p_{1,k+1}} \\
  \vdots & \vdots \\
  \frac{p_{2,k+2}}{p_{2,k+2} + p_{1,k+2}} & \frac{p_{1,k+2}}{p_{2,k+2} + p_{1,k+2}}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[\cdots(3.1)\]

\[\cdots(3.2)\]
Thus postulate (2), eqns. (3.1) and (3.2) prove Lemma 1 which is equivalent to

\[ f(p_1, \ldots, p_m) = f[(1 - p_1), \ldots, (1 - p_m)] \text{ for } (p_1, \ldots, p_m) \in D. \ldots(3.3) \]

In particular, (3.3) gives

\[ f(0, 0, \ldots, 0) = f(1, 1, \ldots, 1). \ldots(3.4) \]

**Lemma 2** — \( f \) defined in postulate (3) satisfies the functional equation

\[
f((x_m)) + \sum_{k=1}^{m-2} (1 - x_k) g \left( \frac{u_k}{1 - x_k}, \frac{u_{k+1}}{1 - x_{k+1}}, \frac{u_{k+2}}{1 - x_{k+2}} \right) = f((u_m)) + \sum_{k=1}^{m-2} (1 - u_k) g \left( \frac{x_k}{1 - u_k}, \frac{x_{k+1}}{1 - u_{k+1}}, \frac{x_{k+2}}{1 - u_{k+2}} \right). \ldots(3.5)
\]

for \( x_k, u_k \in [0, 1) \) with \( x_k + u_k \in (0, 1] \) where \( k = 1, 2, \ldots, m; f((x_m)) = f(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m) \) and that

\[
f((x_m)) = \sum_{k=1}^{m-2} \left[ x_k \log \frac{x_{k+1}}{x_{k+2}} + (1 - x_k) \log \frac{1 - x_{k+1}}{1 - x_{k+2}} \right] \ldots(3.6)
\]

\[
g(x_k, x_{k+1}, x_{k+2}) = x_k \log \frac{x_{k+1}}{x_{k+2}} + (1 - x_k) \log \frac{1 - x_{k+1}}{1 - x_{k+2}} \ldots(3.6a)
\]

for \((x_1, \ldots, x_m) \in D.\)

**Proof:** The postulate (2) gives

\[
I_3 \begin{bmatrix}
X_{1,1}, & X_{2,1}, & X_{3,1} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
X_{1,m}, & X_{2,m}, & X_{3,m}
\end{bmatrix} = I_3 \begin{bmatrix}
X_{2,1}, & X_{3,1}, & X_{1,1} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
X_{2,m}, & X_{3,m}, & X_{1,m}
\end{bmatrix}
= I_3 \begin{bmatrix}
X_{3,1}, & X_{1,1}, & X_{2,1} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
X_{3,m}, & X_{1,m}, & X_{2,m}
\end{bmatrix}. \ldots(3.7)
\]

Equations (3.7) and (3.3) along with postulates (3) and (1) yield

\[
f((x_{1,m} + x_{2,m})) + \sum_{k=1}^{m-2} (x_{1,k} + x_{2,k}) \times g \left( \frac{x_{1,k}}{x_{1,k} + x_{2,k}}, \frac{x_{1,k+1}}{x_{1,k+1} + x_{2,k+1}}, \frac{x_{1,k+2}}{x_{1,k+2} + x_{2,k+2}} \right)
= \ldots \ldots \text{ (equation continued on p. 194)}
\]
\[= f((x_1, m)) + \sum_{k=1}^{m-2} (1 - x_{1,k}) \times g \left( \frac{x_{2,k}}{1 - x_{1,k}}, \frac{x_{2,k+1}}{1 - x_{1,k+1}}, \frac{x_{2,k+2}}{1 - x_{1,k+2}} \right) \]

\[= f((x_2, m)) + \sum_{k=1}^{m-2} (1 - x_{2,k}) \times g \left( \frac{x_{1,k}}{1 - x_{2,k}}, \frac{x_{1,k+1}}{1 - x_{2,k+1}}, \frac{x_{1,k+2}}{1 - x_{2,k+2}} \right). \quad ...(3.8)\]

for \(x_{1,k}, x_{2,k} \in [0, 1), x_{1,k} + x_{2,k} \in (0, 1], k = 1, 2, ..., m\) and with the convention given in the note (section 1).

From the second and third equation pair in (3.8), we see that \(f, g\) satisfy the functional eqn. (3.5).

Let \(f_1, g_1\) denote the partial derivatives of \(f, g\) with respect to the first variable viz. \(x_{1,1}\). Differentiating partially the first and third equation pair in (3.8) with respect to \(x_{1,1}\), we get

\[
f_1((x_1, m + x_2, m)) + g \left( \frac{x_{1,1}}{x_{1,1} + x_{2,1}}, \frac{x_{1,2}}{x_{1,2} + x_{2,2}}, \frac{x_{1,3}}{x_{1,3} + x_{2,3}} \right)
+ \frac{x_{2,1}}{x_{1,1} + x_{2,1}} g_1 \left( \frac{x_{1,1}}{x_{1,1} + x_{2,1}}, \frac{x_{1,2}}{x_{1,2} + x_{2,2}}, \frac{x_{1,3}}{x_{1,3} + x_{2,3}} \right)
= g_1 \left( \frac{x_{1,1}}{1 - x_{2,1}}, \frac{x_{1,2}}{1 - x_{2,2}}, \frac{x_{1,3}}{1 - x_{2,3}} \right) \quad ...(3.9)\]

for \(x_{1,k} \in (0, 1), x_{2,k} \in [0, 1)\) and \(x_{1,k} + x_{2,k} \in (0, 1]\).

Now differentiating partially with respect to \(x_{2,1}\) the first and second equation pairs in (3.8), we have

\[
f_1((x_1, m + x_2, m)) + \left[ g \left( \frac{x_{1,1}}{x_{1,1} + x_{2,1}}, \frac{x_{1,2}}{x_{1,2} + x_{2,2}}, \frac{x_{1,3}}{x_{1,3} + x_{2,3}} \right) \right] - \frac{x_{1,1}}{x_{1,1} + x_{2,1}} \left[ g_1 \left( \frac{x_{1,1}}{x_{1,1} + x_{2,1}}, \frac{x_{1,2}}{x_{1,2} + x_{2,2}}, \frac{x_{1,3}}{x_{1,3} + x_{2,3}} \right) \right]
= g_1 \left( \frac{x_{2,1}}{1 - x_{1,1}}, \frac{x_{2,2}}{1 - x_{1,2}}, \frac{x_{2,3}}{1 - x_{1,3}} \right) \quad ...(3.10)\]

for \(x_{2,k} \in (0, 1)\) and \(x_{1,k} \in [0, 1]\, x_{1,k} + x_{2,k} \in (0, 1]\). Subtracting (3.10) from (3.9) we have
\[ g_1 \left( \frac{x_{1,1}}{x_{1,1} + x_{2,1}}, \frac{x_{1,2}}{x_{1,2} + x_{2,2}}, \frac{x_{1,3}}{x_{1,3} + x_{2,3}} \right) + g_1 \left( \frac{x_{2,1}}{1 - x_{1,1}}, \frac{x_{2,2}}{1 - x_{1,2}}, \frac{x_{2,3}}{1 - x_{1,3}} \right) = g_1 \left( \frac{x_{1,1}}{1 - x_{2,1}}, \frac{x_{1,2}}{1 - x_{2,2}}, \frac{x_{1,3}}{1 - x_{2,3}} \right). \]  

\ldots(3.11)

Integrating eqn. (3.11) with respect to the first variable (see Kannappan and Rathie 1973) we obtain

\[ g(x_{1,1}, x_{1,2}, x_{1,3}) = x_{1,1} \log \frac{x_{1,1}^{x_{1,2}}}{x_{1,3}} + (1 - x_{1,1}) \log \frac{1}{1 - x_{1,3}}. \]  

\ldots(3.12)

Equations (3.8) and (3.12) give

\[ f(\{(x_{1,m} + x_{2,m})\}) + \sum_{k=1}^{m-2} (x_{1,k} + x_{2,k}) \times \left[ \frac{x_{1,k}}{x_{1,k} + x_{2,k}} \log \frac{x_{1,k+1}}{x_{1,k+2}} \frac{(x_{1,k+2} + x_{2,k+2})}{(x_{1,k+1} + x_{2,k+1})} \right. \]

\[ \left. + \frac{x_{2,k}}{x_{1,k} + x_{2,k}} \log \frac{x_{2,k+1}}{x_{2,k+2}} \frac{(x_{1,k+2} + x_{2,k+2})}{(x_{1,k+1} + x_{2,k+1})} \right] = f(\{(x_{1,m})\}) + \sum_{k=1}^{m-2} (1 - x_{1,k}) \times \left[ \frac{x_{2,k}}{(1 - x_{1,k})} \log \frac{x_{2,k+1}}{x_{2,k+2}} \frac{(1 - x_{1,k+2})}{(1 - x_{1,k+1})} + \frac{(1 - x_{1,k} - x_{2,k})}{1 - x_{1,k}} \times \log \frac{(1 - x_{1,k+1}) - x_{2,k+1}}{(1 - x_{1,k+2} - x_{2,k+2}) (1 - x_{1,k+1})} \right]. \]  

\ldots(3.13)

From second and third equation pair of (3.13) we have

\[ f(\{(x_{1,m})\}) - \sum_{k=1}^{m-2} \left[ x_{1,k} \log \frac{x_{1,k+1}}{x_{1,k+2}} + (1 - x_{1,k}) \log \frac{(1 - x_{1,k+1})}{(1 - x_{1,k+2})} \right] = f(\{(x_{2,m})\}) - \sum_{k=1}^{m-2} \left[ x_{2,k} \log \frac{x_{2,k+1}}{x_{2,k+2}} + (1 - x_{2,k}) \log \frac{(1 - x_{2,k+1})}{(1 - x_{2,k+2})} \right]. \]  

\ldots(3.14)
In eqn. (3.14), relation of all $x_{1,k}$ and relation of all $x_{2,k}$ are equal, where $k = 1, 2, ..., m$. Let

\[
\begin{align*}
 f((x_{1,m})) &= \sum_{k=1}^{m-2} \left[ x_{1,k} \log \frac{x_{1,k+1}}{x_{1,k+2}} + (1 - x_{1,k}) \log \frac{1 - x_{1,k+1}}{1 - x_{1,k+2}} \right] \\
 &= f((x_{2,m})) - \sum_{k=1}^{m-2} \left[ x_{2,k} \log \frac{x_{2,k+1}}{x_{2,k+2}} + (1 - x_{2,k}) \frac{1 - x_{2,k+1}}{1 - x_{2,k+2}} \right] \\
 &= A \text{ (constant).} \quad \ldots(3.15)
\end{align*}
\]

From the postulate (5) and (3.15) we obtain

\[ A = 0. \]

Hence Lemma 2 is proved.

**Proof of the Theorem**

Applying the postulate (6), we have

\[
I_n [P_m] = \sum_{i=1}^{n} f((x_{i,m})). \quad \ldots(3.16)
\]

Hence (3.16) and (3.6) give

\[
\begin{align*}
 &= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{m-2} \left[ p_{i,k} \log \frac{p_{i,k+1}}{p_{i,k+2}} + (1 - p_{i,k}) \log \frac{1 - p_{i,k+1}}{1 - p_{i,k+2}} \right]. \quad \ldots(3.17)
\end{align*}
\]

The right-hand side of (3.17) on expansion will give two terms. The first term will correspond to the left-hand side whereas the second term can be expressed as $\log [1 + c \text{ (small)}]$ which is eliminated by postulate (5).

\[
I_n [P_m] = \sum_{k=1}^{m-2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i,k} \log \frac{p_{i,k+1}}{p_{i,k+2}}
\]

which proves the theorem.
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Appendix

This model is likely to be useful in physical situation where weather forecasting is based on data collection, revision of data and several re-revisions to arrive at the correct picture to save life and loss.

The data collector makes the prediction that cyclone observed in Australia is progressing towards India. Further data makes him revise his prediction by sending out information that northern part of India will be affected. When some more observations arrive in he re-revises his stand by sending out information that the capital of Rajasthan is likely to be hit by the cyclone. After revising and re-revising his analysis of more and more incoming data he predicts that only Hawa-Mahal building is likely to be erased by the cyclone. Thus in the above example this model is likely to be useful in making the forecast about the weather in and around the particular building so that the government can act in time to save life and loss.