

A SEMI-SYMMETRIC NON-METRIC CONNECTION ON A RIEMANNIAN MANIFOLD

NIRMALA S. AGASHE AND MANGALA R. CHAFLE

*Department of Mathematics, Bangalore University
Bangalore*

(Received 12 December 1991; accepted 24 February 1992)

We define a linear connection on a Riemannian manifold which is semi-symmetric but non-metric and study some properties of the curvature tensor and Weyl projective curvature tensor with respect to semi-symmetric non-metric connection.

1. INTRODUCTION

Friedmann and Schouten^{1,2} introduced the idea of semi-symmetric linear connection on a differentiable manifold. Hayden³ introduced semi-symmetric metric connection on a Riemannian manifold and this was further developed by Yano⁴, Imai^{5,6} Nakao⁷ and Amur and Pujar⁸.

In this paper, we define a semi-symmetric non-metric connection $\overset{*}{\nabla}$ on a Riemannian manifold M and define the curvature tensor of M with respect to semi-symmetric non-metric connection. We obtain a relation connecting the curvature tensors of M with respect to semi-symmetric non-metric connection and the Riemannian connection. Further, we obtain the first and second Bianchi identities associated with the semi-symmetric non-metric connection.

We also define Weyl projective curvature tensor with respect to semi-symmetric non-metric connection and show that it is equal to Weyl projective curvature tensor with respect to the Riemannian connection.

2. SEMI-SYMMETRIC NON-METRIC CONNECTION

Let M be an m -dimensional Riemannian manifold with Riemannian metric g .

We define a linear connection $\overset{*}{\nabla}$ on a Riemannian manifold M by

$$\overset{*}{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + u(Y)X \quad \dots(2.1)$$

where ∇ is the Riemannian connection on M and u is a 1-form associated with the vector field U on M by

$$u(X) = g(U, X). \quad \dots(2.2)$$

Using (2.1), the torsion tensor $\overset{*}{T}$ of M with respect to the connection $\overset{*}{\nabla}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \overset{*}{T}(X, Y) &= \overset{*}{\nabla}_X Y - \overset{*}{\nabla}_Y X - [X, Y] \\ &= u(Y)X - u(X)Y. \end{aligned} \quad \dots(2.3)$$

A linear connection satisfying (2.3) is called a semi-symmetric connection^{1,2,9}

Further, using (2.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \overset{\star}{\nabla}_X(g(Y,Z)) &= (\overset{\star}{\nabla}_X g)(Y,Z) + g(\overset{\star}{\nabla}_X Y,Z) + g(Y, \overset{\star}{\nabla}_X Z) \\ &= (\overset{\star}{\nabla}_X g)(Y,Z) + \nabla_X(g(Y,Z)) \\ &\quad + u(Y)g(X,Z) + u(Z)g(X,Y) \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$(\overset{\star}{\nabla}_X g)(Y,Z) = -u(Y)g(X,Z) - u(Z)g(X,Y) \tag{2.4}$$

for vector fields X, Y, Z on M .

A linear connection $\overset{\star}{\nabla}$ defined by (2.1) satisfies (2.3) and (2.4) and hence we call $\overset{\star}{\nabla}$, a semi-symmetric non-metric connection.

Conversely, we will show that a linear connection satisfying (2.3) and (2.4) is defined by (2.1).

Let $\overset{\star}{\nabla}$ be a linear connection defined on M by

$$\overset{\star}{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + H(X, Y). \tag{2.5}$$

where ∇ is the Riemannian connection and H is a tensor of type (1, 2) defined on M and $\overset{\star}{\nabla}$ satisfies (2.3) and (2.4).

From (2.4) and (2.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \overset{\star}{\nabla}_X(g(Y,Z)) &= g(\overset{\star}{\nabla}_X Y,Z) + g(Y, \overset{\star}{\nabla}_X Z) - u(Y)g(X,Z) - u(Z)g(X,Y) \\ &= \nabla_X(g(Y,Z)) + g(H(X, Y), Z) + g(H(X, Z), Y) \\ &\quad - u(Y)g(X,Z) - u(Z)g(X,Y). \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$g(H(X, Y), Z) + g(H(X, Z), Y) = u(Y)g(X,Z) + u(Z)g(X,Y). \tag{2.6}$$

On the other hand, from (2.5), we have

$$\overset{\star}{T}(X, Y) = H(X, Y) - H(Y, X). \tag{2.7}$$

From (2.2), (2.6) and (2.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(\overset{\star}{T}(X, Y), Z) + g(\overset{\star}{T}(Z, X), Y) + g(\overset{\star}{T}(Z, Y), X) \\ &= g(H(X, Y), Z) - g(H(Y, X), Z) + g(H(Z, X), Y) \\ &\quad - g(H(X, Z), Y) + g(H(Z, Y), X) - g(H(Y, Z), X) \\ &= 2\{g(H(X, Y), Z) - u(Z)g(X, Y)\} \\ &= 2\{g(H(X, Y), Z) - g(Z, U)g(X, Y)\}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

Hence we obtain

$$H(X, Y) = \frac{1}{2} \{ \overset{*}{T}(X, Y) + \overset{*}{T}'(X, Y) + \overset{*}{T}'(Y, X) \} + g(X, Y)U. \dots(2.9)$$

where the tensor $\overset{*}{T}'$ of type (1, 2) is defined on M by

$$g(\overset{*}{T}(Z, X), Y) = g(\overset{*}{T}'(X, Y), Z). \dots(2.10)$$

From (2.3) and (2.10), we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(\overset{*}{T}'(X, Y), Z) &= g(u(X)Z - u(Z)X, Y) \\ &= u(X)g(Z, Y) - u(Z)g(X, Y) \\ &= u(X)g(Z, Y) - g(Z, U)g(X, Y). \end{aligned} \dots(2.11)$$

which implies

$$\overset{*}{T}'(X, Y) = u(X)Y - g(X, Y)U. \dots(2.12)$$

Hence from (2.3), (2.9) and (2.12), we have

$$\begin{aligned} H(X, Y) &= \frac{1}{2} \{ u(Y)X - u(X)Y + u(X)Y - g(X, Y)U \\ &\quad + u(Y)X - g(Y, X)U \} + g(X, Y)U \\ &= u(Y)X \end{aligned} \dots(2.13)$$

on M .

Hence from (2.5) and (2.13), we obtain

$$\overset{*}{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + u(Y)X.$$

Further, for a 1-form π on M , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \overset{*}{\nabla}_X(\pi(Y)) &= (\overset{*}{\nabla}_X \pi)Y + \pi(\overset{*}{\nabla}_X Y) \\ &= (\overset{*}{\nabla}_X \pi)Y + \pi(\nabla_X Y) + u(Y)\pi(X) \\ &= (\overset{*}{\nabla}_X \pi)Y + \nabla_X(\pi(Y)) - (\nabla_X \pi)Y + u(Y)\pi(X) \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$(\overset{*}{\nabla}_X \pi)Y = (\nabla_X \pi)Y - u(Y)\pi(X) \dots(2.14)$$

for vector fields X, Y on M .

Convariant differentiation of the torsion tensor $\overset{*}{T}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} (\overset{*}{\nabla}_X \overset{*}{T})(Y, Z) &= \overset{*}{\nabla}_X(\overset{*}{T}(Y, Z)) - \overset{*}{T}(\overset{*}{\nabla}_X Y, Z) - \overset{*}{T}(Y, \overset{*}{\nabla}_X Z) \\ &= ((\overset{*}{\nabla}_X u)Z)Y - ((\overset{*}{\nabla}_X u)Y)Z. \end{aligned} \dots(2.15)$$

Further we define

$$\overset{\star}{T}(X, Y, Z) = g(\overset{\star}{T}(X, Y), Z). \tag{2.16}$$

From (2.3) and (2.16), we have

$$\overset{\star}{T}(X, Y, Z) + \overset{\star}{T}(Y, Z, X) + \overset{\star}{T}(Z, X, Y) = 0. \tag{2.17}$$

This identity is true for any semi-symmetric connection on M .

3. CURVATURE TENSOR OF M WITH RESPECT TO SEMI-SYMMETRIC NON-METRIC CONNECTION

Analogous to the definition of curvature tensor of a Riemannian manifold M with respect to the Riemannian connection ∇ , we define the curvature tensor of M with respect to semi-symmetric non-metric connection $\overset{\star}{\nabla}$ by

$$\overset{\star}{R}(X, Y) Z = \overset{\star}{\nabla}_X \overset{\star}{\nabla}_Y Z - \overset{\star}{\nabla}_Y \overset{\star}{\nabla}_X Z - \overset{\star}{\nabla}_{[X, Y]} Z. \tag{3.1}$$

From (2.5) and (3.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \overset{\star}{R}(X, Y) Z &= \overset{\star}{\nabla}_X (\nabla_Y Z + u(Z) Y) - \overset{\star}{\nabla}_Y (\nabla_X Z + u(Z) X) \\ &\quad - \nabla_{[X, Y]} Z - u(Z) [X, Y] \\ &= \nabla_X (\nabla_Y Z + u(Z) Y) + u(\nabla_Y Z + u(Z) Y) X \\ &\quad - \nabla_Y (\nabla_X Z + u(Z) X) - u(\nabla_X Z + u(Z) X) Y \\ &\quad - \nabla_{[X, Y]} Z - u(Z) [X, Y] \\ &= R(X, Y) Z + s(X, Z) Y - s(Y, Z) X \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

where

$$R(X, Y) Z = \nabla_X \nabla_Y Z - \nabla_Y \nabla_X Z - \nabla_{[X, Y]} Z$$

is the curvature tensor of a Riemannian manifold M with respect to the Riemannian connection ∇ and s is a tensor of type $(0, 2)$ defined on M by

$$\begin{aligned} s(X, Y) &= (\nabla_X u) Y - u(X) u(Y) \\ &= (\overset{\star}{\nabla}_X u) Y. \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

A relation between the curvature tensors of M with respect to the semi-symmetric non-metric connection $\overset{\star}{\nabla}$ and the Riemannian connection ∇ is given by (3.2).

Using (3.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} s(X, Y) - s(Y, X) &= (\overset{\star}{\nabla}_X u) Y - (\overset{\star}{\nabla}_Y u) X \\ &= Xu(Y) - Yu(X) - u[X, Y] \\ &= du(X, Y). \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

Thus a tensor s is symmetric iff the 1-form u is closed.

Let

$$R(X, Y; Z, W) = g(R(X, Y)Z, W)$$

and

$$\overset{\star}{R}(X, Y; Z, W) = g(\overset{\star}{R}(X, Y)Z, W) \quad \dots(3.5)$$

for vector fields X, Y, Z, W on M .

From (3.2) and (3.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \overset{\star}{R}(X, Y; Z, W) &= R(X, Y; Z, W) + s(X, Z)g(Y, W) \\ &\quad - s(Y, Z)g(X, W). \end{aligned} \quad \dots(3.6)$$

From (3.1) and (3.5), we have

$$\overset{\star}{R}(X, Y; Z, W) + \overset{\star}{R}(Y, X; Z, W) = 0. \quad \dots(3.7)$$

Using (3.2), (3.6) and the first Bianchi identity with respect to the Riemannian connection, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \overset{\star}{R}(X, Y)Z + \overset{\star}{R}(Y, Z)X + \overset{\star}{R}(Z, X)Y &= \{s(Z, Y) - s(Y, Z)\}X \\ &\quad + \{s(X, Z) - s(Z, X)\}Y \\ &\quad + \{s(Y, X) - s(X, Y)\}Z \end{aligned} \quad \dots(3.8)$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \overset{\star}{R}(X, Y; Z, W) + \overset{\star}{R}(Y, Z; X, W) + \overset{\star}{R}(Z, X; Y, W) &= \{s(Z, Y) - s(Y, Z)\}g(X, W) \\ &\quad + \{s(X, Z) - s(Z, X)\}g(Y, W) \\ &\quad + \{s(Y, X) - s(X, Y)\}g(Z, W). \end{aligned}$$

We call (3.8) as the first Bianchi identity with respect to semi-symmetric non-metric connection $\overset{\star}{\nabla}$.

In particular, if the 1-form u is closed, then (3.8) reduces to

$$\overset{\star}{R}(X, Y)Z + \overset{\star}{R}(Y, Z)X + \overset{\star}{R}(Z, X)Y = 0. \quad \dots(3.9)$$

Using (3.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \overset{\star}{R}(X, Y; Z, W) + \overset{\star}{R}(X, Y; W, Z) &= s(X, Z)g(Y, W) + s(X, W)g(Y, Z) \\ &\quad - s(Y, Z)g(X, W) - s(Y, W)g(X, Z) \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \overset{\star}{R}(X, Y; Z, W) - \overset{\star}{R}(Z, W; X, Y) &= \{s(X, Z) - s(Z, X)\}g(Y, W) \\ &\quad + s(W, X)g(Y, Z) - s(Y, Z)g(X, W). \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

Using (2.1), (3.7) and the second Bianchi Identity for the Riemannian connection, we obtain the second Bianchi identity associated with semi-symmetric non-metric connection which is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (\overset{\star}{\nabla}_X \overset{\star}{R})(Y, Z) + (\overset{\star}{\nabla}_Y \overset{\star}{R})(Z, X) + (\overset{\star}{\nabla}_Z \overset{\star}{R})(X, Y) \\
 &= -\overset{\star}{R}(\overset{\star}{T}(X, Y), Z) - \overset{\star}{R}(\overset{\star}{T}(Y, Z), X) - \overset{\star}{R}(\overset{\star}{T}(Z, X), Y) \\
 &= 2\{u(X)\overset{\star}{R}(Y, Z) + u(Y)\overset{\star}{R}(Z, X) + u(Z)\overset{\star}{R}(X, Y)\}.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.12}$$

Analogous to the definition of Ricci tensor of a Riemannian manifold M with respect to the Riemannian connection ∇ , we define Ricci tensor of M with respect to semi-symmetric non-metric connection $\overset{\star}{\nabla}$ by

$$\overset{\star}{Ric}(Y, Z) = \sum_{i=1}^m \overset{\star}{R}(E_i, Y; Z, E_i) \tag{3.13}$$

where E_i 's, $1 \leq i \leq m$, are orthonormal vector fields on M . From (3.5) and (3.13), we have

$$\overset{\star}{Ric}(Y, Z) = Ric(Y, Z) - (m - 1)s(Y, Z) \tag{3.14}$$

where

$$Ric(Y, Z) = \sum_{i=1}^m R(E_i, Y; Z, E_i)$$

is Ricci tensor of M with respect to the Riemannian connection.

A relation between Ricci tensors with respect to semi-symmetric non-metric connection $\overset{\star}{\nabla}$ and the Riemannian connection ∇ is given by (3.14).

Further, if $\overset{\star}{Ric}(X, Y) = 0$ on M , then (3.14) implies s is symmetric.

From (3.4) and (3.14), we have

$$\overset{\star}{Ric}(X, Y) - \overset{\star}{Ric}(Y, X) = -(m - 1)du(X, Y).$$

Hence, Ricci tensor with respect to semi-symmetric non-metric connection $\overset{\star}{\nabla}$ is symmetric iff the 1-form u is closed and hence iff s is symmetric.

Using (2.15) and (3.3), we have

$$(\overset{\star}{\nabla}_X \overset{\star}{T})(Y, Z) = s(X, Z)Y - s(X, Y)Z. \tag{3.15}$$

In particular, if either the 1-form u is closed or Ricci tensor with respect to semi-symmetric non-metric connection $\overset{\star}{\nabla}$ vanishes, then from (3.15), we have

$$(\overset{\star}{\nabla}_X \overset{\star}{T})(Y, Z) + (\overset{\star}{\nabla}_Y \overset{\star}{T})(Z, X) + (\overset{\star}{\nabla}_Z \overset{\star}{T})(X, Y) = 0. \tag{3.16}$$

Analogous to the definition of the scalar curvature of a Riemannian manifold M with respect to the Riemannian connection, we define the scalar curvature of M with respect to semi-symmetric non-metric connection by

$$\overset{*}{r} = \sum_{i=1}^m \overset{*}{Ric} (E_i, E_i). \tag{3.17}$$

From (3.14) and (3.17), we obtain a relation between the scalar curvature of M with respect to the Riemannian connection and the semi-symmetric non-metric connection which is given by

$$\overset{*}{r} = r - (m - 1) \text{ trace } S \tag{3.18}$$

where

$$r = \sum_{i=1}^m Ric (E_i, E_i)$$

is the scalar curvature of M with respect to the Riemannian connection and S is a tensor of type $(1, 1)$ defined on M by

$$s (X, Y) = g(SX, Y).$$

4. PROJECTIVE CURVATURE TENSOR OF A RIEMANNIAN MANIFOLD WITH RESPECT TO SEMI-SYMMETRIC NON-METRIC CONNECTION

Weyl projective curvature tensor of a Riemannian manifold M with respect to the Riemannian connection is given by

$$P(X, Y) Z = R(X, Y) Z - \frac{1}{m-1} \{ (\overset{*}{Ric} (Y, Z) X - \overset{*}{Ric} (X, Z) Y) \}. \tag{4.1}$$

Analogous to this definition, we define projective curvature tensor of M with respect to semi-symmetric non-metric connection by

$$\overset{*}{P} (X, Y) Z = \overset{*}{R} (X, Y) Z - \frac{1}{m-1} \{ (\overset{*}{Ric} (Y, Z) X - \overset{*}{Ric} (X, Z) Y) \}. \tag{4.2}$$

From (3.2), (3.14), (4.1) and (4.2), we have

$$\overset{*}{P} (X, Y) Z = P(X, Y) Z \text{ on } M. \tag{4.3}$$

Hence we have

Theorem 4.1 – If M is a Riemannian manifold admitting semi-symmetric non-metric connection, then the Weyl projective curvature tensor with respect to semi-symmetric non-metric connection is equal to the Weyl projective curvature tensor with respect to the Riemannian connection.

From (4.3), we have, the projective curvature tensor with respect to semi-symmetric non-metric connection satisfies the following algebraic properties

$$\overset{*}{P} (X, Y) Z + \overset{*}{P} (Y, X) Z = 0$$

and
$$\overset{*}{P} (X, Y) Z + \overset{*}{P} (Y, Z) X + \overset{*}{P} (Z, X) Y = 0 \tag{4.4}$$

for vector fields X, Y, Z on M .

In particular, let M be a Riemannian manifold satisfying

$$\overset{*}{R} (X, Y) Z = 0. \tag{4.5}$$

which implies

$$\overset{*}{Ric} (Y, Z) = 0 \text{ on } M. \tag{4.6}$$

From (4.2), (4.3), (4.5) and (4.6), we have

$$P (X, Y) Z = 0 \text{ on } M.$$

Necessary and sufficient condition for a manifold with a symmetric linear connection to be projectively flat is that the projective curvature tensor with respect to it vanishes identically on a manifold¹⁰⁻¹³.

From (3.3), (3.14) and (4.6), we have

$$(\nabla_X u) Y = \frac{1}{m-1} Ric (X, Y) + u(X) u(Y). \tag{4.7}$$

Using (4.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} -u (R(X, Y)Z) &= (\nabla_X \nabla_Y u - \nabla_Y \nabla_X u - \nabla_{[X,Y]} u) Z \\ &= \frac{1}{m-1} ((\nabla_X Ric) (Y, Z) - (\nabla_Y Ric) (X, Z) \\ &\quad + u(Y) Ric (X, Z) - u(X) Ric (Y, Z)). \end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

Further, from (4.1), we have

$$u(R(X, Y)Z) = \frac{1}{m-1} (Ric (X, Z)u(X) - Ric(X, Z)u(Y)). \tag{4.9}$$

From (4.8) and (4.9), we have

$$(\nabla_X Ric) (Y, Z) - (\nabla_Y Ric) (X, Z) = 0.$$

Hence we have

Theorem 4.2 – If M is a Riemannian manifold with vanishing curvature tensor with respect to semi-symmetric non-metric connection, then M is projectively flat and

$$(\nabla_X Ric) (Y, Z) = (\nabla_Y Ric) (X, Z) \text{ on } M.$$

It is well known that a Riemannian manifold is of constant curvature iff it is projectively flat and a Riemannian manifold of constant curvature is conformally flat¹³.

Hence from theorem 4.2, we have

Theorem 4.3 – If M is a Riemannian manifold with vanishing curvature tensor with respect to semi-symmetric non-metric connection, then M is a space of constant curvature and hence is conformally flat.

From (3.2), (3.15), (4.5) and (4.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} R (X, Y) Z &= s (Z, Y) X - s (Z, X) Y \\ &= (\overset{*}{\nabla}_Z \overset{*}{T}) (X, Y). \end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

Hence we have

Theorem 4.4 – If M is a Riemannian manifold with vanishing curvature tensor

with respect to semi-symmetric non-metric connection, then M is flat iff

$$(\overset{\star}{\nabla}_X T)(Y, Z) = 0 \text{ on } M.$$

A Riemannian manifold M is a group manifold¹⁴ with respect to semi-symmetric non-metric connection if

$$\overset{\star}{R}(X, Y)Z = 0$$

and

$$(\overset{\star}{\nabla}_X \overset{\star}{T})(Y, Z) = 0 \text{ on } M. \tag{4.11}$$

Hence from (4.11) and Theorem 4.4, we have

Theorem 4.5 – If a Riemannian manifold M is a group manifold with respect to semi-symmetric non-metric connection, then M is flat and consequently M is projectively flat and conformally flat.

In particular, if either the 1-form u is closed or Ricci tensor with respect to semi-symmetric non-metric connection vanishes, then from (3.2) and (3.15), we have

$$\overset{\star}{R}(X, Y)Z = R(X, Y)Z + (\overset{\star}{\nabla}_Z \overset{\star}{T})(Y, X). \tag{4.12}$$

Hence we have

Theorem 4.6 – Let M be a Riemannian manifold with semi-symmetric non-metric connection. If either the 1-form u is closed or Ricci tensor with respect to semi-symmetric non-metric connection vanishes, then

$$R(X, Y)Z = \overset{\star}{R}(X, Y)Z + (\overset{\star}{\nabla}_Z \overset{\star}{T})(X, Y) \text{ on } M.$$

In particular, if M is a Riemannian manifold with vanishing Ricci tensor with respect to semi-symmetric non-metric connection, then from (4.2), (4.3) and (4.12), we have

$$\begin{aligned} P(X, Y)Z &= \overset{\star}{R}(X, Y)Z \\ &= R(X, Y)Z + (\overset{\star}{\nabla}_Z \overset{\star}{T})(Y, X) \text{ on } M. \end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

Hence we have :

Theorem 4.7 – If a Riemannian manifold M with vanishing Ricci tensor with respect to semi-symmetric non-metric connection is projectively flat, then the curvature tensor with respect to semi-symmetric non-metric connection vanishes.

From Theorem 4.2 and 4.7, we have :

Theorem 4.8 – If M is a Riemannian manifold with vanishing Ricci tensor with respect to semi-symmetric non-metric connection, then M is projectively flat iff the curvature tensor with respect to semi-symmetric non-metric connection vanishes.

Since a flat manifold is projectively flat, from (4.13) we have

$$\overset{\star}{R}(X, Y)Z = 0 \text{ and } (\overset{\star}{\nabla}_Z \overset{\star}{T})(X, Y) = 0 \text{ on } M.$$

Hence from (4.11), we have

Theorem 4.9 – If a Riemannian manifold M with vanishing Ricci tensor with respect to semi-symmetric non-metric connection is flat, then M is a group manifold with respect to semi-symmetric non-metric connection.

From Theorem 4.5 and 4.9, we have

Theorem 4.10 – If M is a Riemannian manifold with vanishing Ricci tensor with respect to semi-symmetric non-metric connection, then M is a group manifold with respect to semi-symmetric non-metric connection iff M is flat.

Let ∇^p be a symmetric linear connection which is projectively related to the Riemannian connection ∇ defined on M by^{12,13}

$$\nabla_X^p Y = \nabla_X Y + u(Y) X + u(X) Y. \tag{4.14}$$

From (2.1) and (4.14), we have

$$\nabla_X^p Y = \overset{*}{\nabla}_X Y + u(X) Y \text{ on } M. \tag{4.15}$$

A relation between the curvature tensors of M with respect to ∇^p and the Riemannian connection ∇ is given by¹²

$$\begin{aligned} R^p(X, Y) Z &= R(X, Y) Z + s(X, Z) Y - s(Y, Z) X \\ &\quad + \{s(X, Y) - s(Y, X)\} Z. \end{aligned} \tag{4.16}$$

Hence from (3.2) and (4.16), we obtain

$$R^p(X, Y) Z = \overset{*}{R}(X, Y) Z + \{s(X, Y) - s(Y, X)\} Z. \tag{4.17}$$

which is a relation between the curvature tensors of M with respect to ∇^p and semi-symmetric non-metric connection $\overset{*}{\nabla}$.

In particular, let M be a Riemannian manifold with vanishing Ricci tensor with respect to semi-symmetric non-metric connection. Then s is symmetric.

From (4.13) and (4.17), we have

$$R^p(X, Y) Z = \overset{*}{R}(X, Y) Z = P(X, Y) Z \text{ on } M. \tag{4.18}$$

Hence we have :

Theorem 4.11 – If M is a Riemannian manifold with vanishing Ricci tensor with respect to semi-symmetric non-metric connection, then there exists a symmetric linear connection which is projectively related to the Riemannian connection and its curvature tensor is equal to the Weyl projective curvature tensor with respect to the Riemannian connection.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The junior author wishes to express her sincere thanks to C.S.I.R., New Delhi for Senior Research Fellowship No. 9/39/(17)87 EMR-I, as present research work was carried out during the tenure of the SRF.

REFERENCES

1. A. Friedmann and J. A. Schouten, *Math Z.* 21 (1924), 211-23.
2. J. A. Schouten, *Ricci-calculus*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (1954).
3. H. A. Hayden, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* 34 (1932), 27-50.
4. K. Yano, *Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl.* 15 (1970), 1579-86.
5. T. Imai, *Tensor N.S.* 24 (1972), 293-96.
6. T. Imai, *Tensor N.S.* 23 (1972), 300-306.
7. Zensho Nakao, *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* 54 (1976), 261-66.
8. K. Amur and S. S. Pujar, *Tensor N. S.*, 32 (1978), 35-38.
9. S. Kobayashi and K. Nomizu, *Foundations of differential Geometry I, II*, Interscience Publishers, New York, 1969.
10. H. Weyl, *Göttingen Nachrichten*, (1912), 99-112.
11. H. Weyl, *Math Z.* 2 (1918), 384-411.
12. K. Yano, *Integral Formulas in Riemannian Geometry*, Marcel Dekker Inc., New York, 1970.
13. B. B. Sinha, *An Introduction to Modern Differential Geometry*, Kalyani Publishers, New Delhi, 1982.
14. L. P. Eisenhart, *Continuous Groups of Transformations*, Princeton University Press, 1933.